



Top-K Recommendation

**Motivation: Full Ranking Metrics Differs from Top-K Metrics.
The Empirical Inconsistency is Large.**

Table 1.1: Empirical analyses of the inconsistency between AUC/LLPAUC/NDCG/NDCG@K and Top-K accuracy across arbitrary pairs of ranking lists on real-world datasets.

Metric	Gowalla		Beauty		Games		Electronics	
	Precision@20	Recall@20	Precision@20	Recall@20	Precision@20	Recall@20	Precision@20	Recall@20
AUC	33.17%	33.89%	34.67%	35.46%	35.17%	34.19%	33.32%	34.58%
LLPAUC	18.53%	18.65%	23.81%	22.95%	23.30%	22.34%	23.30%	22.25%
NDCG	26.70%	26.88%	29.65%	29.52%	29.52%	28.02%	29.11%	28.53%
NDCG@K	19.01%	18.24%	22.02%	22.55%	21.14%	22.21%	17.65%	17.51%

Existing Loss Functions

Full Ranking Losses:

- The most prominent examples are BPR and Softmax Loss (SL).
- BPR optimizes AUC, while SL optimizes full ranking metric NDCG.
- The full ranking metrics differs significantly from Top-K metrics.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{BPR}} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_u|} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{P}_u} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_u} \log \sigma(s_{uj} - s_{ui}). \quad \mathcal{L}_{\text{SL}} = -\frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_u|} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{P}_u} \log \frac{\exp(s_{ui}/\tau)}{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}} \exp(s_{uj}/\tau)}$$

Partial-AUC-based Losses:

- Another research line focuses on optimizing Partial-AUC metrics
- Quantify the area under a specific segment of the ROC curve.
- The Partial-AUC metrics also differs from Top-K metrics.

$$\text{LLPAUC} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{P}_u} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_u} \frac{\mathbb{I}(s_{ui} \geq s_{uj}) \cdot \mathbb{I}(s_{ui} \geq \eta_a) \cdot \mathbb{I}(s_{uj} \geq \eta_b)}{|\mathcal{P}_u| |\mathcal{N}_u|} \quad \text{s.t. } \Pr_{i \sim U(\mathcal{P}_u)}[s_{ui} \geq \eta_a] = \alpha \text{ and } \Pr_{j \sim U(\mathcal{N}_u)}[s_{uj} \geq \eta_b] = \beta$$

[rfp3321-Talos: Optimizing Top-K Accuracy in Recommender Systems]
[Shengjia Zhang, Weiqin Yang, Jiawei Chen, Peng Wu, Yuegang Sun, Gang Wang, Qihao Shi and Can Wang]



How to Optimize Top-K Accuracy

Top-K Accuracy:

- Only the **Top K** ranked items are taken into account to computation.

$$\text{Precision@K}(u) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{P}_u} \frac{\mathbb{I}(\pi_{ui} \leq K)}{K} \quad \text{Recall@K}(u) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{P}_u} \frac{\mathbb{I}(\pi_{ui} \leq K)}{|\mathcal{P}_u|}$$

Top-K Truncated

Technique 1: Introducing Top-K Quantile

- To tackle the non-feasible ranking-dependent truncation term, we borrow the quantile technique, which can be defined $\beta_u^K = s_{ui}$, where $\pi_{ui} = K$.
- We propose an efficient negative sampling-based quantile regression loss to efficiently estimate it: $Q_K(u) = \frac{1}{|I|} \left(\sum_{i \in \mathcal{P}_u} \rho_K(s_{ui} - \hat{\beta}_u) + w_u \sum_{j \in \mathcal{G}_u} \rho_K(s_{uj} - \hat{\beta}_u) \right)$

Technique 2: Introducing Surrogate Loss

- The Heaviside step function in Top-K accuracy is **non-smooth**.
- We therefore smooth it with sigmoid function $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Talos}} = -\log \frac{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{P}_u} \sigma_\tau(s_{ui} - \beta_u^K)}{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}} \sigma_\tau(s_{uj} - \beta_u^K)}$

Talos: The Top-K Loss Functions

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Talos}} = -\frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_u|} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{P}_u} \log \frac{\sigma_\tau(s_{ui} - \beta_u^K)}{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{G}_u} \sigma_\tau(s_{uj} - \beta_u^K)} \quad \sigma_\tau(\cdot) = \text{sigmoid}(\cdot)^{1/\tau} \quad \mathcal{G}_u \text{ denotes the sampled negative item set}$$

Theoretical Guarantees

Talos serves as an **upper bound for Precision@K**

Robustness Guarantees

Talos is guaranteed to converge (Theorem 3.3)

Convergence Guarantees

Talos is robust to distributional shift (Theorem 3.2)

Empirical Results

Table 3.1: Overall performance comparison of Talos with other losses. **blue** indicates the best result, and the runner-up is underlined. **Imp.%** indicates the relatively improvements of Talos over the best baselines. "P@20" denotes the metric Precision@20, and "R@20" denotes Recall@20. The mark "*" suggests the improvement is statistically significant with $p < 0.05$.

Model	Loss	Gowalla		Beauty		Games		Electronics	
		P@20	R@20	P@20	R@20	P@20	R@20	P@20	R@20
MF	BPR	0.0438	0.1511	0.0146	0.1267	0.0142	0.1334	0.0058	0.0566
	AATP	0.0327	0.1078	0.0120	0.1044	0.0142	0.1330	0.0028	0.0272
	RS@K	0.0397	0.1218	0.0094	0.0669	0.0104	0.0872	0.0020	0.0159
	Smoothl@K	0.0586	0.1882	0.0163	0.1352	0.0192	0.1778	0.0059	0.0565
	SL	0.0625	0.2017	0.0172	0.1403	0.0208	0.1918	0.0064	0.0626
	BSL	0.0625	0.2017	0.0171	0.1401	0.0210	0.1934	0.0065	0.0630
	PSL	0.0631	0.2031	0.0174	0.1432	0.0210	0.1935	0.0066	0.0637
	AdvInfoNCE	0.0623	0.2012	0.0171	0.1403	0.0210	0.1935	0.0063	0.0616
	LLPAUC	0.0562	0.1847	0.0157	0.1336	0.0187	0.1748	0.0059	0.0583
	Talos	0.0642	0.2079	0.0179	0.1499	0.0213	0.1967	0.0067	0.0655
Imp.%		+1.71%*	+2.35%*	+2.69%*	+4.69%*	+1.19%*	+1.62%*	+3.04%*	+2.75%*
LGCN	BPR	0.0527	0.1761	0.0159	0.1385	0.0192	0.1801	0.0050	0.0482
	AATP	0.0249	0.0754	0.0121	0.1067	0.0127	0.1175	0.0030	0.0289
	RS@K	0.0536	0.1735	0.0151	0.1192	0.0168	0.1511	0.0038	0.0357
	Smoothl@K	0.0590	0.1898	0.0169	0.1427	0.0196	0.1801	0.0065	0.0630
	SL	0.0628	0.2025	0.0172	0.1433	0.0211	0.1942	0.0065	0.0629
	BSL	0.0628	0.2025	0.0172	0.1433	0.0210	0.1931	0.0064	0.0626
	PSL	0.0634	0.2042	0.0173	0.1425	0.0210	0.1925	0.0065	0.0627
	AdvInfoNCE	0.0627	0.2028	0.0172	0.1433	0.0210	0.1936	0.0064	0.0626
	LLPAUC	0.0516	0.1722	0.0170	0.1423	0.0202	0.1882	0.0066	0.0642
	Talos	0.0642	0.2080	0.0178	0.1489	0.0212	0.1960	0.0068	0.0662
Imp.%		+1.26%*	+1.85%*	+3.08%*	+3.87%*	+0.68%*	+0.95%*	+3.48%*	+3.06%*
XSimGCL	BPR	0.0584	0.1917	0.0170	0.1450	0.0194	0.1810	0.0064	0.0625
	AATP	0.0451	0.1515	0.0153	0.1264	0.0173	0.1619	0.0051	0.0501
	RS@K	0.0515	0.1669	0.0151	0.1221	0.0174	0.1590	0.0040	0.0373
	Smoothl@K	0.0532	0.1731	0.0077	0.0560	0.0144	0.1320	0.0040	0.0395
	SL	0.0623	0.2010	0.0171	0.1418	0.0208	0.1915	0.0065	0.0627
	BSL	0.0625	0.2013	0.0169	0.1413	0.0209	0.1931	0.0063	0.0619
	PSL	0.0630	0.2023	0.0172	0.1407	0.0207	0.1910	0.0066	0.0636
	AdvInfoNCE	0.0623	0.2010	0.0171	0.1415	0.0209	0.1923	0.0064	0.0622
	LLPAUC	0.0612	0.1980	0.0171	0.1443	0.0207	0.1910	0.0064	0.0635
	Talos	0.0638	0.2057	0.0180	0.1506	0.0212	0.1961	0.0067	0.0654
Imp.%		+1.27%*	+1.68%*	+4.87%*	+3.81%*	+1.32%*	+1.60%*	+2.65%*	+2.81%*

Table 3.2: Performance comparisons with varying K on MF backbone in Gowalla dataset. **blue** indicates the best result.

Gowalla	Recall@20	Recall@50	Recall@80
AATP	0.1062	0.2068	0.2160
RS@K	0.1218	0.1059	0.2406
Smoothl@K	0.1882	0.3027	0.3625
SL	0.2017	0.3185	0.3900
BSL	0.2017	0.3185	0.3900
AdvInfoNCE	0.2013	0.3183	0.3900
LLPAUC	0.1847	0.2927	0.3640
PSL	0.2038	0.3192	0.3902
Talos@20	0.2078	0.3202	0.3894
Talos@50	0.2078	0.3212	0.3907
Talos@80	0.2074	0.3211	0.3911
Imp.%	+1.98%	+0.63%	+0.22%

Table 3.3: Performance comparisons with varying K on MF backbone in Beauty dataset. **blue** indicates the best result.

Beauty	Recall@20	Recall@50	Recall@80
AATP	0.1077	0.1850	0.2371
RS@K	0.0669	0.0989	0.1223
Smoothl@K	0.1352	0.2041	0.2454
SL	0.1403	0.2163	0.2617
BSL	0.1401	0.2159	0.2617
AdvInfoNCE	0.1409	0.2160	0.2621
LLPAUC	0.1336	0.2047	0.2443
PSL	0.1432	0.2184	0.2625
Talos@20	0.1499	0.2205	0.2620
Talos@50	0.1484	0.2218	0.2637
Talos@80	0.1485	0.2213	0.2674
Imp.%	+1.85%	+4.69%	+1.59%