#### **Computer Graphics**

#### Geometry 1 (Introduction)



Today

#### Introduction to geometry

- Examples of geometry
- Various representations of geometry





# Examples of Geometry





# Examples of Geometry

#### **Examples of Geometry**







### Many Ways to Represent Geometry

Implicit

- algebraic surface (代数曲面)
- level sets (水平集)
- distance functions
- •

Explicit

- point cloud
- polygon mesh
- subdivision, NURBS

Tach chaice best suited to a dif

Each choice best suited to a different task/type of geometry

#### "Implicit" Representations of Geometry

Based on classifying points

• Points satisfy some specified relationship

E.g. sphere: all points in 3D, where  $x^2+y^2+z^2 = 1$ 

More generally, f(x,y,z) = 0



#### Implicit Surface – Sampling Can Be Hard

$$f(x, y, z) = (2 - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2})^2 + z^2 - 1$$

What points lie on f(x,y,z) = 0?



#### Some tasks are hard with implicit representations

#### Implicit Surface – Inside/Outside Tests Easy



Implicit representations make some tasks easy

#### "Explicit" Representations of Geometry

All points are given directly or via parameter mapping



#### Explicit Surface – Sampling Is Easy

$$f(u,v) = ((2 + \cos u)\cos v, (2 + \cos u)\sin v, \sin u)$$



Explicit representations make some tasks easy

#### Explicit Surface – Inside/Outside Test Hard



Some tasks are hard with explicit representations

## No "Best" Representation – Geometry is Hard!



#### No "Best" Representation – Geometry is Hard!



Best Representation Depends on the Task!

## More Implicit Representations in Computer Graphics

#### Many Implicit Representations in Graphics

Algebraic surfaces

Constructive solid geometry

Level set methods

Fractals (分形)

. . .







#### Algebraic Surfaces (Implicit)

Surface is zero set of a polynomial in x, y, z





#### More complex shapes?

#### Algebraic Surfaces (Implicit)



### **Constructive Solid Geometry (Implicit)**

Combine implicit geometry via Boolean operations



#### Distance Functions (Implicit)

Instead of Booleans, gradually blend surfaces together using

Distance functions:

giving minimum distance (could be signed distance) from anywhere to object



#### **Distance Functions (Implicit)**

An Example: Blending (linear interp.) a moving boundary



#### Blending Distance Functions (Implicit)

Can blend any two distance functions d1, d2:



#### Scene of Pure Distance Functions



See <u>https://iquilezles.org/www/articles/raymarchingdf/raymarchingdf.htm</u> 26

# Level Set Methods (Also implicit)

Closed-form equations are hard to describe complex shapes

Alternative: store a grid of values approximating function



Surface is found where interpolated values equal zero

Provides much more explicit control over shape (like a texture)

# Level Set Methods (Also implicit)





$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} : c(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{U} = \sum_{e=1}^{N} \mathbf{u}_{e}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{k}_{e} \mathbf{u}_{e} = \sum_{e=1}^{N} x_{e} \mathbf{u}_{e}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{k}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{e}$$
  
subject to :  $V(\mathbf{x}) = V_{req}$  (1)  
:  $\mathbf{K} \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{F}$   
:  $x_{e} = 0$  or  $x_{e} = 1 \quad \forall e = 1, \dots, N$ 

基于水平集方法的拓扑优化

https://zh.wikipedia.org/wiki/%E6%B0%B4%E5%B9%B3%E9%9B%86%E6%96%B9%E6%B3%95

#### Level Sets from Medical Data (CT, MRI, etc.)

Level sets encode, e.g., constant tissue density



#### Level Sets in Physical Simulation

Level set encodes distance to air-liquid boundary



See http://physbam.stanford.edu

#### Fractals (Implicit)

Exhibit self-similarity, detail at all scales

"Language" for describing natural phenomena Hard to control shape!



#### Fractals (Implicit)

Exhibit self-similarity, detail at all scales

- "Language" for describing natural phenomena
- Hard to control shape!



#### Implicit Representations - Pros & Cons

Pros:

- compact description (e.g., a function)
- certain queries easy (inside object, distance to surface)
- good for ray-to-surface intersection (more later)
- for simple shapes, exact description / no sampling error
- easy to handle changes in topology (e.g., fluid)

Cons:

• difficult to model complex shapes

Thank you!